SENATE HEARING SLIP	DATE: March 1, 2000	BILL NO. Senate Bill 310 or SUBIECT Farm Truck	Regulation	7	(Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code)	(Representing)	Speaking in Favor:	Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882
SENATE HEARING SLIP	DATE: 3 - 1 - 0 0	BILL NO. SB 310 or SUBJECT			(Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code) Korthanner of Sas Inc		Speaking in Favor:	Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but not speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882
SENATE HEARING SLIP	DATE: 3/1 /00	BILL NO. OF $363/0$	Jessica Formey on technif of	SENATOR CANLING (NAME)	(Street Address or Route Number)	(City and Zip Code)	(Representing)	Speaking in Favor:	Speaking Against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP (Please Print Plainly)	SENATE HEARING SLIP (Please Print Plainly)
DATE: WOLL, 2000 BILL NO. SB 310 Or SUBJECT	BILL NO. 38310 Or Farm Trucks
Carson P. Frazier	MeDowe
(Street Address or Route Number)	r Route Nu
(City and Zip Code) (Representing)	(City and Zip Code) WI Landscape Federation (Representing)
Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against:	Speaking in Favor: Speaking Against:
Registering in Favor: but not speaking:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:
Registering Against: but not speaking:	Registering Against: but not speaking:
Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:
Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882	Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

Vote Record

Senate Committee on Insurance, Tourism, Transportation and Corrections

A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: I to A/S Sub Amdt: A/S Amdt: I to A/S Sub Amdt: I Indefinite Postponement Tabling Concurrence Adoption Adoption Rejection Confirmation Committee Member Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. David Zien Totals:	Date: Ciew Moved by: Ziew AB: SB: AJR: SJR:	3\0	Seconded by: Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment: Other:
A/S Sub Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: be recommended for: Passage Introduction Adoption Rejection Committee Member Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. David Zien to A/S Sub Amdt: Tabling Concurrence Concurrence Concurrence Confirmation Confirmation Aye No Absent Not Voting Sen. Absent Not Voting Sen. Alan Lasee	A:		
A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation Aye No Absent Not Voting Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. David Zien	A/S Amdt:	to A/S Amdt:	
A/S Amdt: to A/S Amdt: to A/S Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: Indefinite Postponement Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Committee Member Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. David Zien to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: Indefinite Postponement Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation Ay No Absent Not Voting			
Be recommended for: Passage			
Passage	A/S Amdt:	_ to A/S Amdt:	to A/S Sub Amdt:
Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. David Zien	Passage Introduction Adoption		Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence
Totals:	Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Kevin Shibilski Sen. Dale Schultz Sen. Alan Lasee		Aye No Absent Not Voting
		Totals:	

Motion Carried Motion Failed

Testimony in Favor of SB310 By Linda K Palmer

Chairperson Breske and members of the committee, thank you for allowing me to testify before you in favor of SB310. This bill was introduced by State Senator Alberta Darling on the behalf of Karthauser & Sons, Inc. and other growers like us, after our own experiences regarding "FARM" license plates on our trucks.

On February 9, 1995 one of Karthauser & Sons' trucks was issued a citation by the Wisconsin State Patrol in Waushara County for misuse of registered farm trucks. We plead not guilty and went to trial on May 16, 1995 to fight the ticket.

Before our trial date, we were told by Inspector P. Sampon of the Wisconsin State Patrol that Worker Compensation codes were the standard the Wisconsin Department of Transportation used to determine whether or not we had a right to use FARM license plates on our trucks. We contacted our Worker Compensation carrier, Florist Mutual Insurance, and had them provide us detailed documents showing under Wisconsin rules, we were classified as farmers. Since Worker Compensation codes clearly identified us as farmers, we could not understand why Inspector P. Sampon had issued us the citation. We went further in gathering documentation supporting our position as farmers by showing how the Internal Revenue Service considers us farmers by having us fill out Form 943, Employer's Annual Tax Return for Agricultural Employees. And how the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture considers us farmers in their filing of a Facility/Record Inspection Report clearly mark "Ag Use". And how on May 7, 1995 one of our trucks with "FARM" license plates received a Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Division of State Patrol, Motor Carrier Safety Inspection Report which showed "No Violations".

The Honorable Lewis Murach, Circuit Judge in and for Waushara County, Wisconsin presided over our May 16, 1995 trial. Guy Dutcher, Waushara County District Attorney, represented the State of Wisconsin. Gerald T Karthauser, a former owner of Karthauser & Sons, Inc., appeared in our defense. District Attorney Dutcher used Wisconsin State Statute 341.045 and Section 102.04(3) as proof of the state's position. During the trial both the judge and the district attorney acknowledged the statute's language is somewhat dated and the case, which supported the statute's language, was also dated. Judge Murach stated that if the Court could apply a broader definition to the licensing provisions we would be considered farmers, but using the law as written, we could not. The citation's fine was reduced to \$10 and Judge Murach suggested we contact our State Senator's office to have the law updated.



Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Tommy G. Thompson Governor

Charles H. Thompson Secretary

DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES 4802 Sheboygan Avenue P.O. Box 7949 Madison, WI 53707-7949

SENATE COMMITTEE ON INSURANCE, TOURISM, TRANSPORTATION AND CORRECTIONS

March 1, 2000 Remarks, Carson P. Frazier

SB 310: considering vehicles used in the operation of greenhouses as "farm trucks"

SB 310 adds to the definition of "farmer" used to determine "farm truck" registration, operating greenhouses or other similar structures, regardless of whether they are used to produce food or farm plants.

A farm truck is used *primarily* (under 38,000 pounds) or *exclusively* (38,000 pounds or over) for the transportation of supplies, farm equipment, and products on or between the farmer's farms, transportation of products to market, or transportation of supplies to the farm. A farm truck may not be used in furtherance of any non-farm occupation, trade, profession or other employment.

DOT has two major concerns with SB 310.

First, revenue loss to the Transportation Fund. A farm truck is registered for a much lower registration fee than a truck of similar weight. This bill would expand the vehicles eligible for reduced registration fees by a significant number.

As you see from the bill's fiscal estimate, perhaps over 17,000 vehicles might be eligible for reduced farm truck registration. This would reduce revenue to the Transportation Fund by \$2.9 million a year.

Second, an effect on Commercial Driver Licensing (CDL). The definition in the motor vehicle statutes is also used in determining eligibility for CDL waiver as a farmer. Our interpretation is that under SB 310 "farmers" who own or work directly for greenhouse owners would be waived from commercial driver licensing requirements.

This greatly increases the number of people who would drive commercial vehicles but would not need CDL's, and allows far more extensive travel throughout the state than was intended under the farmer CDL waiver. For safety of both the operators and the traveling public, DOT is concerned about this consequence of the bill.

For these reasons, DOT must respectfully oppose SB 310.